REMARKS

Election is herein made with traverse as to the method associated with the designated Group

II claims, i.e., claims 32-37. There must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is

required (see MPEP § 803.02, § 806.04(a) - § 806.04(i), § 808.01(a), and § 808.02) and a

demonstration of the serious burden must be shown. The Group II claims are a species of possible

manufacturing methods that are sufficiently linked with respect to the Group I claims and, as such,

it would be relatively easy to search the method claims of Group II in connection with the Group I

claims without placing an additional undue burden on the examiner.

Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the election/restriction requirement be

expanded to permit the election of both the Group I and Group II claims in the instant examination.

Applicant believes that this response fully addresses the restriction/election requirements

associated with the Sep. 4, 2007 Office Action. This response/election is being timely submitted

prior to the Oct. 4, 2007 shortened statutory period deadline. As such, no extension of time is

necessary.

Examination of the elected claims on the merits is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 27, 2007

By _/John P. Guenther/

John P. Guenther, Reg. No.: 39,698

Dykema Gossett PLLC

39577 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

Tel.: (248) 203-0537

Attorney for Applicant

Customer No. 26127

BH01\803305.1 **ID**\JPGU

2